## Case 3:14-cv-02871-RS Document 28 Filed 02/03/15 Page 1 of 6

1	SCHIFF HARDIN LLP			
2	Eliot S. Jubelirer, CSB #61654 ejubelirer@schiffhardin.com			
3	Rocky N. Unruh, CSB #84049 runruh@schiffhardin.com			
4	One Market Spear Street Tower, Suite 3200			
5	San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: 415-901-8700			
6	Facsimile: 415-901-8701			
7	BRADLEY ARANT BOULT CUMMINGS LLP  E. Mabry Rogers ( <i>pro hac vice</i> application to be			
8	submitted) submitted) mrogers@babc.com			
9	D. Bryan Thomas ( <i>pro hac vice</i> application to be submitted)			
10	1819 Fifth Ave. North Birmingham, AL 35203 Telephone: 205-521-8000			
11				
12				
13	Attorneys for Use Plaintiff			
14	SHAREĎ SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY, INC. 4 (PULLMAN)			
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
17	TORTILITOR	TRICT OF CALL OR WAY		
18	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA for			
19	the use and benefit of SHARED SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY, INC.	Case No. 3:14-CV-02871-RS		
20	(PULLMAN), a corporation,	Cube 140. 0.14 CV 020/1 No		
21	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING CASE		
22	v.	MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO		
23	AMEC ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE, INC., a	COMPLAINT		
24	corporation; and ZURICH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY, a	Complaint Filed: June 20, 2014 Current CMC Date: February 12, 2015		
25	corporation,	Current Civic Dute. Tebruary 12, 2010		
26	Defendants.			
27				
28	Plaintiff, Shared Systems Technology, Inc. (Pullman) ("SST"), and defendant			
N LLP		CASE NO. 3:14-CV-02871-RS		

SCHIFF HARDIN ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

	Case 0.14 07 02011 No Bootament 20 1 nea 02/00/10 1 age 2 01 0
1	AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, Inc. ("AMEC") (collectively, the "Parties"), by and
2	through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate to (1) an additional 60-day
3	continuance of the Case Management Conference ("CMC") (and all dates associated
4	therewith), currently set for February 12, 2015, and (2) an additional extension of
5	Defendants' time to respond to the Complaint from January 20, 2015, to and including
6	March 20, 2015. <sup>1</sup> The Parties' stipulation is based on the following facts:
7	1. SST filed a Complaint to Recover on Payment Bond (Miller Act)
8	("Complaint") on June 20, 2014. The case was initially assigned to Magistrate Elizabeth
9	LaPorte.
10	2. The action arises out of a federal construction project to stabilize and repair
11	exterior walls of the cellhouse on Alcatraz Island. SST was a sub-subcontractor on the
12	project; AMEC was the general contractor; and Zurich issued the Miller Act payment
13	bond.
14	3. SST commenced this action in June to stop the running of the statute of
15	limitations. However, at the time the action was commenced, the parties planned to
16	participate in a multi-party mediation session before mediator, Randall Wulff of Wulff
17	Quinby Sochynsky. After the mediation session before Mr. Wulff, the Parties negotiated
18	basic terms for a settlement that, if finalized, will result in a dismissal of this action.
19	4. The settlement negotiated by the Parties has taken additional time to
20	finalize and document because it involves a complex liquidation, sharing and pass-
21	through agreement. The settlement is complex because it involves the Contract Disputes

- time to nd passract Disputes Act, the application of relevant FAR provisions, ongoing litigation between AMEC and the subcontractor with which SST contracted, and this pending case under the Miller Act.
  - 5. The Parties and the subcontractor with which SST contracted have

Defendants' time to respond to the Complaint.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Defendant, Zurich American Insurance Company ("Zurich"), has been served with the Complaint but has not yet entered an appearance given the ongoing settlement negotiations, in an effort to minimize attorneys' fees. SST has agreed to extend both

continued to negotiate and exchange support for their claims under the Contract		
Disputes Act. The Parties are awaiting final support for one of the claims asserted by the		
subcontractor with which SST contracted. The Parties assure the Court that their		
settlement is still on course, and they expect to finalize a settlement agreement within		
the next 14 days.		
6. All defendants have been served with the Complaint, and there have been		
three prior stipulations extending their time to respond. Defendants' response was due		
on January 20, 2015, but no response was filed because it appeared the settlement would		
soon be finalized.		
7. On July 29, 2014, SST filed a declination of assignment to Magistrate		
LaPorte, and the case was thereafter assigned to this Court.		
8. On July 31, 2014, the Clerk issued a notice setting the initial CMC in this		
Court for October 2, 2014.		
9. On September 8, 2014, Judge Richard Seeborg entered an Order extending		
Defendants' time to respond to November 21, 2014 and continuing the CMC until		
December 11, 2014.		
10. On November 18, 2014, Judge Seeborg entered an Order extending		
Defendants' time to respond to January 20, 2015 and continuing the CMC until February		
12, 2015.		
11. The Parties wish to avoid the time and expense of responding to the		
Complaint, making initial Rule 26 disclosures, engaging in a Rule 26(f) conference, and		
preparing for and attending the CMC, while they finalize and document the settlement		
they have negotiated. This settlement, when finalized and documented, will result in a		
dismissal of this case.		
12. The Parties, therefore, respectfully request that: (1) Defendants' time to		
respond to the Complaint be extended to and including March 20, 2015; (2) the CMC be		
continued for 60 days, until early April, 2015 on a date convenient to the Court; and (3)		
the dates for filing the Rule 26(f) report, completing initial disclosures, and filing the		

## Case 3:14-cv-02871-RS Document 28 Filed 02/03/15 Page 4 of 6

1	Joint CMC statement be continue	ed until one week before the new CMC date.
2	Dated: February 2, 2015	Respectfully submitted,
3		SCHIFF HARDIN LLP
4		
5		By:/s/ Rocky N. Unruh
6		Rocky N. Unruh (SBN 84049) One Market Plaza, Spear Street Tower 32 <sup>nd</sup> Floor
7		San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: 415-901-8700
8		Facsimile: 415-901-8701
9		BRADLEY ARANT BOULT CUMMINGS LLP E. Mabry Rogers (pro hac vice application
11		to be submitted) mrogers@babc.com
12		D. Bryan Thomas ( <i>pro hac vice</i> application to be submitted)
13		dbthomas@babc.com One Federal Place
14		1819 Fifth Ave. North Birmingham, AL 35203 Telephone: 205-521-8000 Facsimile: 205-521-8800
15		Facsimile: 205-521-8800
16		Attorneys for Plaintiff SHARED SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY, INC.
17		(PULLMAN)
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 N LLP		- 4 - CASE NO. 3:14-CV-02871-RS
LAW	STIPULATION AND	- 4 - CASE NO. 3:14-CV-02871-RS [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

SCHIFF HARDIN LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

## Case 3:14-cv-02871-RS Document 28 Filed 02/03/15 Page 5 of 6

1	Dated: February 2, 2015	KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP
2		
3		
4		By:/s/ Holly Gaudreau Holly Gaudreau (SBN 209114)
5		hgaudreau@kilpatricktownsend.com Eighth Floor, Two Embarcadero Center
6 7		San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: 415-576-0200 Facsimile: 415-576-0300
8		Chad V. Theriot ( <i>pro hac vice</i> application to
9		be submitted)
10		ctheriot@kilpatricktownsend.com Elizabeth H. Crabtree ( <i>pro hac vice</i> application to be submitted)
11		lcrabtree@kilpatricktownsend.com 11000 Peachtree Street NE, Suite 2800
12		Atlanta, GA 30309-4528 Telephone: 404-815-6500 Facsimile: 404-815-6555
13		
14		Attorneys for Defendants AMEC ENVIRONMENT & INTER A STRUCTURE INC.
15		INFRASTRUCTURE, INC.
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
N LLP	STIPULATION A	- 5 - CASE NO. 3:14-CV-02871-RS AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

SCHIFF HARDIN LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

ORDER		
Pursuant to the Parties' stipulation and for good cause shown, IT IS HEREBY		
ORDERED that:		
1. Defendants' time to respond to the Complaint shall be extended to and		
including March 20, 2015;		
2. The Case Management Conference shall be continued from February 5, April 9, 2015 2015, until at 10:00 a.m.; and		
3. All other deadlines associated with the Case Management Conference,		
including the filing of the Rule 26(f) report, completing initial disclosures, and filing the		
Joint Case Management Conference statement, shall be continued until one week before		
the new CMC date. The Parties shall comply with this Court's Standing Order re: Initial		
Case Management and the Standing Order for All Judges of the Northern District of		
California re: Contents of Joint Case Management Statements.		
$\sim 1101$		
Dated: February 3 , 2015		
Richard Seeborg United States District Judge		
44926-0000 SF\321339340.1		
6		